Course 8M Illustrative Solutions

Solution 1

a) Describe the major issues to be considered in performing this audit

1. Was the cla	imant eligible at the date of service?
What was p	rovider's status and Payment
2. Arrangeme	<u>nt?</u>
	- provider contracted or not?
	were contractual obligations
	- followed?
Were <i>polici</i>	es and procedures followed when claim
3. adjudicated	?
	do policies and procedures represent acceptable
	- levels of control?
	does organization follow its own
	- rules

b) Describe the quality targets used in the contract

1. Overall Accuracy

- Percentage of Claims paid correctly in every respect
- and with no errors
- -95% considered good

2. <u>Payment Accuracy</u>

- Proportion of claims for which payment amount is correct but
- other processing
- -97% considered good
- 3. Financial Accuracy
 - = [Overpayments + Underpayments] /
 - Total Amount Paid
 - -99.3% considered good

Solution 1 (continued)

1. Overall Accuracy:			250	
Claims with error	ors:		350	
Total Claims			15,000	
Overall Accurac	У	¢	0.00	97
Penalty		\$	0.90	
Meet Target:		No		
2. Payment Accuracy:				
Claims with error	ors:		125	
Total Claims			15,000	
Overall Accurac	У			99
Penalty		\$	-	
Meet Target:		Yes		
3. Financial Accuracy:				
Sum of over/und	lerpayments:		28,750	
Total Claims		-	2,250,000	
Overall Accurac	У			98
Penalty		\$	0.90	
Meet Target:		No		
4. Ultimate administrativ	ve fee:			
Contracted Amo	unt	\$	18.00	
less penalties:				
	Overall			
	Accuracy	\$	0.90	
	Payment			
	Accuracy	\$	-	
	Financial			
	Accuracy	\$	0.90	

a) **Describe the Responsibilities of a Product Manager**

Develop Promotional Materials Develop Annual Plan

- Specific projects
- Profit Objectives
- Premium Growth Targets
- New Business

Manage Profit & Growth Manage Market Developments – Competition, buyer

- Plan and Manage a range of products within each Product Line
 - New products
 - New uses of existing products
 - Enhance existing products
 - Withdraw redundant products

b) Describe different product development processes

Product Driven Approach – Used by Traditional Groups and Carriers Steps:

- Generation of Ideas comes from several sources
- Idea screening to determine which ones are compatible with company
- Concept Development refine the concept into a product
- Business Analysis pricing and ROI analysis
- Product Development The product is given physical form here.
- Test Marketing Sales in real world markets
- Commercialization Full Manufacturing and distribution

Market Driven Approach - Used by Modern Health Plans Steps:

- Assess the Target Market needs
 - Select a target market and research it's needs
 - A competitive analysis is done
- Identify Differential Advantage
 - Differentiate the product from the competition to better meet customer needs
- Strategic formulation
 - Build and deliver the product
- Pretest in limited market
- Fully implement

Solution 2 (continued)

c) Describe the different distribution models available for group and individual products and indicate where suitable for a hospital-surgical product.

Brokerage / General Agency

- Individual and small group
- Lower capital required and staffing for the insurance company
- Higher commissions
- Self Employed
- Sells products of other companies

Group Field Force

- Full time salaried staff
- Used for large group products
- Sell through agents and consultants
- Higher overhead

Direct Sales

- Salaried employee sells directly to Groups
- No commissions to Agents
- Compensated based on performance
- Blue Cross uses
- Mass Mailing, telemarketing, websites

Sales to participants – marketing to individual plan participants Worksite Marketing – 100% EE paid

Multi-level Model

- Sophisticated sales force
- Used by national carriers
- Different channels allowing flexibility
- •

d) **Describe the underwriting practices of individual products**

Non-medical – applicant fills out questionnaire detailing medical health history; attending physician statement may be requested; no medical exam.

Paramedical – medical health history information is provided along with attending physician statement; medical exam is conducted by a paramedical (like a nurse)

Medical – medical health history information along with attending physician statement, medical exam is administered by a doctor.

Solution 2 (continued)

Sources of information available to UW

- Inspection report
- Application
- Medical exam
- Internal database of information applied for other coverage?
- Agent information
- MIB
- APS
- Financial questionnaires

Final decisions include:

- Approve as applied for
- Decline
- Issue coverage but different than applied for:
 - Higher premium
 - o Higher cost sharing higher deductible or coinsurance
 - 0

e) Describe special characteristics and regulations which would be applicable to this product.

- Disclosure Outline of Coverage
- Replacement Provisions
- Prior approval of advertisements

- a) Association members of an organization formed to further a common interest
 - participation is low, usually < 5%, so potential for anti-selection
 - evidence of insurability is important
 - often limited to life or disability insurance

Multiple Employer Trust (MET) – multiple employers from the same industry come together to participate in a trust

- Has minimum participation rules
- Often self-insured MEWAs (Multiple employer Welfare Associations)

b) Successful multiple-employer plans:

- Large number of eligible members
- Sponsoring association is a strong entity
- Small average size employer (<50)

Successful underwriting:

- Closely monitored premium collection
- Limited plan design
- Limited evidence of insurability
- Pre-existing condition limitations
- Age-rating

c) Taft-Hartley Plans:

- Noncontributory and covers 100% of eligible employees
- Only covers full time employees
- Strict rules on restatements
- Strict definition of eligibility and minimum hours worked
- Strong administration facilities are required established by trustee

Purchasing alliances:

- Groups that come together to purchase insurance (no other purpose or affiliation)
- Purpose is to have negotiation leverage and purchasing power
- Typically fully insured

Solution 3 (continued)

- May contract directly with providers
 - Limited set of plans
 - Favored plan promoted in each location
 - Restrictions on offering plans not sponsored by the association
 - Common premium rates for all groups
 - Hard to keep healthiest groups
- d) Association plans would fit.
 - Association plans are generally individual life and disability.
 - Wonderful Life sells individual medical, individual LTD, and specialty products. These may be marketed through direct marketing channels (mail, internet, affiliations, etc.) similar to how association group products would be marketed.
 - Underwriting for association group products would be simple accept/reject or short form, similar to LTD or specialty products. Underwriting rules regarding EDI,GI, and participation would need to be developed. Therefore, Wonderful Life would need to expand its underwriting expertise.
 - Billing systems are already set up for both individual and group billing so Wonderful Life would be able to bill associations.

- a) Steps in Health Risk Assessment Process are:
 - 1) Risk assessment compare each person's predicted claims to average
 - 2) Payment adjustment to reflect differences from above
- b) Risk classification methods are typically based on one of the following:
 - 1) Medical history
 - 2) Functional health status
 - 3) Perceived health status
 - 4) Utilization and claims measures
 - 5) Demographics
 - 6) Diagnosis and pharmacy information
 - 7) Lifestyle and behavior factors

Common risk classification methods include:

- 1) Chronic Illness and Disability Payment System
- 2) Adjusted Clinical Groups
- 3) RxGroups
- 4) Episode Risk Groups
- 5) Diagnostic cost groups
- 6) Medicaid Rx
- 7) Clinical risk groups
- 8) RxRisk
- c) Adjusted claims experience

Using age/gender and region factors	Group 1	Group 2
Total claims below \$50K pooling	\$34,000	\$2,520,000
÷ Region factor	0.90	1.20
÷ Age/factor	0.90	0.95
÷ Exposure	25 * 12	1500 * 12
Adjusted Experience PEPM	139.92	122.81

Using risk scores	Group 1	Group 2
Total claims below \$50K pooling	\$34,000	\$2,520,000
÷ Risk score	1.07	1.30
÷Exposure	25 * 12	1500
Adjusted Experience PEPM	105.92	107.69

- d) Comparing results from b)
 - 1) Group 4 produces much lower adjusted PEPM when using current rating factors
 - 2) Difference is smaller when using risk scores risk scores are better predictors of cost differences than rating factors
 - 3) However, Group 1 is small (25 versus 1500) so result may not be credible

a)

Carriers are required to offer all products on a guaranteed acceptance and renewal basis.

Individual employees or dependents cannot be single out for special rating treatment or prior health experience.

Pre-existing limitations/exclusions cannot be imposed on individual employees who have had coverage for a period of 12 months.

Pregnancy cannot be considered a pre-existing condition.

Duration curve should become flatter and rating is limited by most states.

b)

Underwriting the group

Financial viability

Need to retain the group long enough to recoup acquisition costs High turnover increase administration cost

Industry

Some states no longer allow or limit surcharge to a maximum of 15% Can be work related Can be lifestyle issues

Group size

Larger the group, the more lives to spread morbidity risk over Administration expense decreases per capita as size increases

Workers compensation

Lack of worker compensation could be a cause of rejection

Participation

Must be a certain percent

Required employer contribution

Prior coverage and experience

Eligibility rules

1 to 3 months of waiting period for new entrants Only full time employees allowed coverage

Solution 5 (continued)

Underwriting the individual

Enforcement of eligibility

Apply pre-existing conditions when allowed

Treatment of new entrants / late entrants

Medical assessment – short or long form

c)

Group size Plan design Trend Area Provider reimbursement level Incurred claims Date of issue Exposure Underwriting Pre-existing conditions limitations

d)

Year	Average claims PMPM	Trend of 13.5%	Age/sex factor of 1%	Adjusted claims PMPM	Duration factor
0	\$70.40	1.000	1.000	\$70.40	0.64
1	\$126.10	0.881	0.990	\$110.00	1.00
2	\$166.24	0.776	0.980	\$126.50	1.15
3	\$223.71	0.684	0.971	\$148.50	1.35
4	\$265.94	0.603	0.961	\$154.00	1.40

Average claims PMPM = claims / exposure

Adjusted claims PMPM= average claims PMPM x trend x age/sex factor Duration factor = adjusted claim PMPM of year t / adjusted claim PMPM of year 1

a)

Allows ER to share the experience. It also minimizes the risk for the insurer (since bad experience can be recouped and allows insurer to charge lower rate)

Most common form of employer refund liabilities

CSR = previous balance + premiums + investment income - incurred claims - minus risk and retention

b) Prior balance = 0 Premiums = 92,384,000 Investment Income = (.03)*(1/2)(sum of IBNR for 2004 in table)*(.1) = 236,145Assumption is interest rate of .015 and IBNR is 10% of IBNR in table since claims are 10% of claims in table

Incurred claims = (.1)*(sum of incurred est in table) = 73,723,000

Expenses = .159 * (92,384,000) = 14,689,056

min CSR level =15,397,333 (92,384,000/12*2)

CSR Balance = 0+92,384,000+236,145-73,723,000-n 14,689,056=4,208,089

An additional 15,397,333-4,208,089=11,189,244 needs to be held for the CSR to meet 2 months of premium requirement.

No refund

a)

Reinsurer financial condition and continuity

Reinsurance intended to protect ceding company, so reinsurer should have capacity to absorb risk

Rates and terms

Beware of rates which look "too good to be true" - look longer term!

Reinsurance administration costs

Retention limits should be set to minimize the reinsurance expenses

Degree of management involvement

Reinsurer could provide useful consulting and thereby save the company's own management time

Profits lost to reinsurer

Retention amounts and premiums should be such that ceding company retains as much profit possible

Flexibility

Reinsurers offer varying levels

Reinsurer experience and ability

Reinsurer should also be profitable to maximize long-term value Particularly if ceding company is relying on reinsurer for industry knowledge & expertise

Reinsurer services offered

Business relationship

Reinsurance demands utmost in good faith between ceding and reinsurer, compromises often required

Reinsurer underwriting

Must be sure that reinsurers' underwriters have ceding companies best interests at heart

Solution 7 (continued)

exclude specific

Name	Incurred in	Paid in		Paid claim			Total Eligible		der Anderson's pecific SL	Eligibl A	le for Anderson's ggregate SL	Paid under OX's Reinsurance	
John Smith	November, 2004	June, 2005	\$	175,000									
	January, 2005	July, 2005	\$	195,000									
	September, 2004	1 August, 2005	\$	105,000	INELIGIBLE								
Total			\$	475,000		\$	370,000	\$	120,000	\$	250,000	\$ -	
Many Thompson	October, 2004	March, 2005	¢	65,000									
Mary Thompson	January, 2004		\$ \$	105,000									
	March, 2005	September, 2005		100,000									
Total	March, 2005	September, 2005	_⊅ \$	270,000		¢	270,000	\$	20,000	\$	250,000	\$ -	
TUIdI			ф	270,000		\$	270,000	Φ	20,000	Φ	250,000	۰ ۲	
Annie Chan	June, 2004	January, 2005	\$	150,000	INELIGIBLE								
	December, 2004	March, 2005	\$	55,000									
	February, 2005	March, 2005	\$	80,000									
	August, 2005	December, 2005	\$	115,000									
	April, 2005	May, 2005	\$	1,000,000									
Total			\$	1,400,000		\$	1,250,000	\$	1,000,000	\$	250,000	\$ 630,000 but limited to \$500,0	,00
All other employees total*			\$	4,500,000		\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,500,000		
Expected 2005 claim per employee			\$	2,750									
*no other individual employees hit the	stop loss limit												
						Totals	5	\$	1,140,000	\$	5,250,000		
						Expec	cted Claims				4,125,000		
						Aggre	gate stop loss attachr	nent point			4,950,000		
						Aggre	gate stop loss reimbu	rsement		\$	300,000		
						(i) Pa	yments from OX to A	nderson					
							Specific Stop Loss			\$	1,140,000		
							Aggregate Stop Loss			\$	300,000		
							Total			\$	1,440,000		
		10											

Solution 7 (continued)

c)

Reinsurance Coverage Period

Group medical reinsurance is generally written on a "Loss Occurring" basis while stop-loss reinsurance coverage is provided on a "Risk Attaching" basis.

Under a Loss Occuring contract, reinsurance coverage is based on whether the loss occurred during the period of the reinsurance agreement.

Coverage under a Risk Attaching contract is based on whether the underlying risk insured was

sold or renewed during the period of the reinsurance agreement.

Example: there are 2 separate 12-month reinsurance agreements, one for group medical excess and the other for specific and aggregate coverage, beginning 7/1/2000 and ending 6/30/2001. Group excess medical reinsurance coverage ends 6/30/2001 unless renewed.

Under the stop-loss reinsurance agreement, reinsurance would continue for 12 months from the date

that each contract was written by the insurer while the reinsurance agreement was in effect even

if the reinsurance agreement between the ceding company and reinsurer terminated.

(a)

The actuary should adjust past experience for any known or expected changes that, in the actuary's judgment, are likely to materially affect expected future results. These can include:

- 1. changes in the selection of risks
- 2. demographic changes
- 3. benefit changes
- 4. changes in premiums, claims, expenses
- 5. trends in mortality and morbidity

The actuary should adjust past experience based on earned premium and incurred claims to reasonably match claim experience to exposure.

The actuary should update prior earned premium and incurred claims estimates to reflect premium and incurred claim development experience to date, when material.

The actuary should consider the applicability and statistical credibility of the data and make appropriate modifications as necessary.

The actuary should consider provider contract changes and other pertinent plan provisions not written into the plan documents.

The actuary should consider available data relevant to new plans or benefits.

(b)

I do not agree that the rates given should be changed, because:

- 1. The student did not consider exposure, they only considered the premium dollar change. Changes in the number of covered lives accounts for some of the increase.
- 2. Since the renewal dates are different, some groups have not yet been renewed and had the previous 10% rate increase that went into effect 10/1/2005.
- 3. The student's rate increase is a simple average of the individual rate increases. It should have been done on a weighted average/per life basis (gives +9.4%).
- 4. The cases may not have been a random sample.
- 5. A sample size of 10 cases is likely to be too few.
- 6. The new cases may not be comparable since old premium was with another company and likely on a different pricing basis.
- 7. The age/sex mix of individual cases may change through time, leading to different premium levels.

a)

Consider the following

Examine the market. Physicians must be educated and given the opportunity to adapt. Gain the support of physicians. Use clinical guidelines or pathways to allow physicians to improve quality. Ensure that the reimbursement method properly aligns incentives. Invest in a good data collection system Providers should understand the risks that they are taking on. They may need stop loss insurance to limit catastrophic risk Health plan and provider risks must be balanced. Good relationships should be fostered by all parties. Give physicians regular feedback on performance

b)

Fee for Service - Physician receives payment for each service. Discount off of Billed charges - Agree to percentage of before service is performed. Fee schedule - determined by health plan Relative Value Scale - most widely used is RBRVS by Medicare Mandatory reductions in all fees - If total expenses exceed budget. Budgeted Fee-For-Service - Similar to above. Except each specialty has its own budget Sliding Scale Individual Fee allowance - Similar to above. Except each physician is compared to benchmark. Capitaton - Physician received fixed fee. Full Risk Capitation - professional services Global Capitation - all medical expenses Incentives - In addition to underlying method. an inducement to practice efficiently. Withhold - keeps a percentage of physician fee. Bonus - for satisfying performance criteria. Case rates or Global Fees - single fee regardless of time or effort. Bundled case rate or packaging pricing Salary - Typically used for staff model HMO.

Retainer - used for specialists. Fixed fee per month.

Solution 9 (continued)

c)

a) Describe typical LTC coverage and plan features

eligibility/benefit triggers – if insured requires assistance with a minimum of 2 ADLs (activities of daily living) and/or cognitive impairment

covered services – includes nursing home (SNF), home/community based care, assisted living facilities, adult dare care, respite care, etc.

benefit amounts – can pay for a fixed amount per day/per service or related to cost of service (percent of cost or capped at a max)

benefit duration - maximums for benefit days and/or dollars

Guaranteed renewability requirement

b) Necessary pricing assumptions

- Morbidity insurer's data is best source if credible Cost varies by type of benefit There is a substitution effect among benefits
- 2. mortality- consider selection and select mortality table
- 3. Voluntary lapses
- 4. Expenses
- 5. Taxes
- 6. Investment income

7. Mix of business – consider age, gender, marital status, underwriting class, benefits, marketing

8. Change over time assumptions - reflect expected charges in assumptions

- c) Possible changes in consumer's behavior affecting future LTC costs
 - 1. Utilization will increase because services are insured (anti-selection)

2. Nursing home construction has been controlled by CON (certificate of need) laws in many states – if changed, SNF utilization would increase

- 3. Medical advances might change LTC needs
- 4. Current attitude towards nursing homes may change

5. Divorce rates may reduce the number of care providers available to care for impaired in the home

- 6. Changes may occur in government funding
- 7. New LTC services may be developed and existing services may be used more substantially

a)

Section A – Taxation

- Employer provided insured premium or self insured claims and administrative fees paid for Active employees, and their spouses and children is deductible as a business expense
- Employer provided insured premium or self insured claims and administrative fees paid for Retired employees and their spouses and children is deductible as a business expense

b)

Section B – FASB 106

- Issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB)
- The Statement establishes accounting standards for employers' accounting for postretirement benefits other than pensions (hereinafter referred to as postretirement benefits).
- Although it applies to all forms of postretirement benefits, this Statement focuses principally on postretirement health care benefits
- Applies to any program written or unwritten that provides benefits to a former employee after he/she retirees.
- Applies to the following (non-pension) retiree benefits
 - o Health benefits
 - o Group Life
 - Tuition assistance
 - o Day care
 - o Legal services
 - Housing subsidies
- It does not cover pre-retirement disability benefits
- It significantly changed the prevalent current practice of accounting for postretirement benefits on a pay-as-you-go (cash) basis by requiring accrual, during the years that the employee renders the necessary service, of the expected cost of providing those benefits to an employee and the employee's beneficiaries and covered dependents.
- Employers must use the best information and estimates to develop actuarial assumptions
 - o Interest/Discount rates
 - Health care cost trend rates
 - o Medicare reimbursement rates

Solution 11 (continued)

- o Turnover
- o Retirement age
- Participation rates
- Mortality

a)

Fully Insured

1 Insurance Company takes risk

- 2 Add retention (expense margin; profit; taxes, deficit recovery charge)
- 3 Carrier chooses how to invest reserves
- 4 Subject to state laws (premium taxes, mandated benefits)
- 5 Carrier may purchase reinsurance or use pooling methods
- 6 Catastrophic claims are insurer's responsibility
- 7 Insurer pays claims directly with premium received

Self Insured Employer Takes risk Pay admin expenses (called ASO if carrier administers) Freedom to fund claims account & reserve ERISA prempts state laws (no premium taxes, no mandated benefits Employer can purchase individual or aggregate stop loss Catastrophic claims are funded from employers account Employer funds account which is used to pay

Employer funds account which is used to pay claims expenses

b) In addition to FI & SI plans above, alternative arrangements include:

1 Minimum premium contracts

still fully insured, but employer funds account to pay claims, so claim expense avoids premium tax. Insurer still bears risk of claims over set premium

2 Stop loss contracts

Individual stop loss covers claims for an individual over a certain deductible & aggregate covers claims over attachment point for entire group; usually purchased by self insured

3 Reserveless plans

Insurance company foregoes a set amount of premium up front equal to reserve amount so employer can invest this how they choose. Employer subject to termination risk charge at end of contract to make up

4 Retrospective premium arrangement

At the end of rating period, the experience of the group is compared to the premiums collected. After a set claims stabilization reserve is held by insurer (to cover risk of terminating after "bad" year) an experience refund (or alteratively payment if "bad" year) are paid to employer. Expensive to implement - need to make sure group is large enough to bear risk

Solution 12 (continued)

c)

- Consideration when changing funding:
- * Is current experience favorable? Has it been consistently that way?
- * Is group large enough to switch to a self-insured arrangement?
- *How much risk can company bear to shoulder?
- * Are there any political sensitive requirements?
- *How much would premium tax savings amount to?
- * What is the current retention level (if fully insured)?
- *Do you have a knowledgeable advisor to help invest funds & manage plan (if going self-insured)
- * Is the company ready for change?
- * Have you explored all options? Which are available?
- * Why do you want to change?

a) Components and potential causes

- Provider reimbursement trend
 - Change in provider reimbursement for same service
- Residual trend-
 - Utilization trend
 - Change in frequency of services
 - Caused by selection and provider practice patterns
 - Change in intensity
 - Change in the mix of services performed
 - Change fluctuation
 - Cat claims, epidemics
 - Change in technology
 - Development of more advanced treatments
 - Code Creep
 - Charging for service more intense than the one actually performed
 - Cost shifting
 - Increase the cost for one segment (Commercial) to subsidize lower reimbursement in another (Medicare)
 - Shifting of services from IP to OP
 - Government intervention
 - Mandated benefits leads to higher cost
 - Demographic changes
 - Age, gender, industry
 - Product mix changes
 - Introducing a new product/plan affects trend

b) Approaches for performing base trend analysis

- Analysis of historical claims experience
 - Monitor cost per service and utilization of service
 - Separate by product line
- Analysis of provider contracting changes
 - Isolate residual trend
 - Separate analysis by service category (IP, OP)
- Track changes

•

- Establish a standard approach of tracking contracts
- Summarize contractual rates for each service category
- Indicate the type of arrangement (per diem)
- Track risk sharing provisions
 - > Track hospital usage (DRG), physician usage by procedural code
 - Develop assumption for FFS provider
 - Track capitation rates

Solution 13 (continued)

- Large claims
 - Monitor over time to understand the impact on trend
- Demographic changes
 - Age, gender
- Develop trend report and include
 - Historical changes in FFS claims
 - Historical changes in provider contracts, capitation rates
 - Trend in allowable PMPM
 - Residual trend

c) Macro-economic variables that may be considered in an econometric trend model

- Wealth
 - As this increases people spend more on health care
 - More research is done
- General inflation that increase all costs
- Effect of third party payers
 - They reduce consumers sensitivity to cost
- Physician supply
 - Expectation is that increased supply leads to a reduction in price
 - This has not happened
- More specialists
 - Leads to more intensive therapies
- Demographic effect
 - Population aging
 - Causes an increase in consumption
- Growing impact of managed care
 - Expected to decrease costs, but only recently has market share increased for effects to be seen

- a) describe different patient-directed health care approaches
- 1. provide single benefit plan this is Archaic's current plan
- 2. multi-plan option offer different plan options with different premiums
- 3. multi-plan option + flexible spending account (FSA) EE can contribute pre-tax to FSA and cover expenses that are not covered by insurance use or lose it for FSA funds
- 4. healthcare supermarket + FSA helpful for small employers who can't offer multiple self-administered plans, reduces their administrative burden, may be risk-adjusted in pool
- 5. healthcare supermarket + FSA + PHA (personal health account) employer contributes to PHA it can rollover from year to year and can be used to purchase insurance
- 6. high deductible plan + PHA + FSA low cost high deductible plan may be attractive to younger or wealthier employees HDHP provides insurance for catastrophic events
- FSA + PHA eliminates need to buy insurance employee can decide to buy or cover expenses out of pocket and save funds for future – lowest administrative cost for employer, but may not be willing to offer health insurance for employees
- 8. New generation PHA both employer and employee contribute, portable, can purchase insurance, requires changes in regulations to work
- b) key dimensions used to differentiate between approaches
- 1. breadth of coverage choice for employees
- 2. consumer's stake in spending decisions
- 3. flexibility of funding options for employees
- 4. continuity of coverage and care afforded to employees
- 5. employer administrative stewardship
- c) evaluate which approaches may be suitable for Archaic

Currently Archaic only offers an indemnity plan with a \$500 maximum out-of-pocket. They are on the extreme end – least patient drive. Exploring PDHB options is a great idea, but a PHA will be too extreme a change and may upset employees too much.

Going to a multi-plan option with a FSA will allow Archaic to move down the continuum and allow their employees to get used to increase cost sharing and start becoming better health care consumers. Currently they are completely isolated from actual cost of services

a)	2004 membership = 80,000 + 130,000 = 210,000 2005 membership = 210,000 x 1.10 = 231,000 2006 membership = 231,000 x 1.10 = 254,100 2007 membership = 254,000 x 1.10 = 279,510	(Table MC-3)
	<u>Current PBM</u> 2007 utilization = 2005 utilization (given) = 7,000 scripts/1000, or 7 scripts per member = 7 x 279,510 = 1,956,570 scripts	(Table MC-4)
	Generic scripts = 1,956,570 x 40% = 782,628 Single-source brand scripts = 1,956,570 x 45% = 880,456.5 Multi-source brand scripts = 1,956,570 x 15% = 293,485.5	(Table MC-7)
	So, ingredient costs = sum of (number of scripts x AWP x (1-discount)) = 782,628 x \$75 x (175) = \$14,674,275 + 880,456.5 x \$120 x (11) = \$95,089,302 + 293,485.5 x \$80 x (14) = \$14,087,304 Total = \$123,850,881	(Discounts from MC-7)
	dispensing fee = \$2 x 1,956,570 = \$3,913,140 Admin fee = \$.50 x 1,956,570 = \$978,285	(Table MC-7)
	for rebates, number formulary scripts = 100% generic + 70% single source + 85% mult = 782,628 + 616,319.55 + 249,462.675 = 1,648,410.225 Thus, rebate = \$.75 x formulary scripts = \$1,236,307.67 so, incurred claims = ingredient costs + admin fee + dispensing fee - rebates = \$127,505,9 Also, pmpm = incurred claims/ (12 x 279,510) = \$38.01	
	 <u>New PBM</u> same dispensing fee assume 4% increase in generic utilization comes from multi-source brand drugs since sin Drugs do not have generic equivalents 	gle-source
	Generic scripts = $1,956,570 \ge 44\%$ = $860,890.8$ Single-source brand scripts = $1,956,570 \ge 45\%$ = $880,456.5$ Multi-source brand scripts = $1,956,570 \ge 11\%$ = $215,222.7$	
	So,	
	ingredient costs = $860,890.8 \times 575 \times (175) = 16,141,702.5 + 880,456.5 \times 120 \times (11) = 95,089,302$	

+ 215,222.7 x \$80 x (1-.4) = \$10,330,689.6Total = \$121,561,694.1

dispensing fee = \$2 x 1,956,570 = \$3,913,140 admin fee = \$.50 x 279,510 x 12 = \$1,677,060

no rebates

so, incurred claims = \$127,151,894.1 also, pmpm = incurred claims/ (12 x 279,510) = \$37.90

THUS, I recommend the new PBM, since it has the lower cost

- b) 1) encourage generic and therapeutic substitution
 ----> plan design (lower copays, coinsurances, etc for generics)
 - 2) establish manufacturer relationships and negotiate rebates
 ---> rebates create potential conflict of interest for PBM
 ---> ownership of PBM by manufacturer can result in increase in that manufacturer's drugs
 - 3) provide mail order capabilities---> mail order pharmacies buy drugs at lower cost
 - Prior Authorization
 ---> medical appropriateness of drug
 - 5) Maximum Dispensing Limit ---> prevents stockpiling
 - 6) Step Therapies---> makes sure front-line drugs are tried first

a)

	2004 Util / 1000	2005 Util / 1000	2004 pmpm	2004 Unit Cost
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	(Tbl MC-4)	(Tbl MC-4)	(Tbl MC-5)	
Outpat Surgery	90	80	\$1.65	\$220.00
Inpat Visits	140	135	\$0.93	79.71
Office Visits	2,150	2,000	\$8.06	44.99

(d) = 2004 UC = (c) x 12000 / (a) PCP percentages : IPA 1 = 95%, IPA3 = 5% (Tbl MC-5) Fee Schedule as Percent of RBRVS : IPA1 = 120%, IPA3 = 150% (Tbl MC-7)

Costs above represent 95% (120%) + 5% (150%) = 121.5% RBRVS

IPA Unit Cost trend = 6%/year to 2006

	2006 Util / 1000 (e)	2006 Unit Cost (f)	Copay	2006 Net Unit Cost (g)	2006 pmpm (h)
Outpat Surg	76	\$244.14	\$0	\$244.14	\$1.55
Inpat Visits	128.25	\$88.46	\$0	\$88.46	\$0.94
Office Visits	1,900	\$49.93	\$10	\$39.93	\$6.32

Formulas:

(e) = (b) x .95 (reduced for IPA1 only utilization) (f) = (d) x $(1.06)^2 x 120\% / 121.5\%$ (g) = (f) - copay (h) = (e) x (g) / 12000

Admin load = 5% + 7% = 12% Capitation = \$8.81 / (1-12%) = \$10.01 pmpm

b)

Prepaid Risks

- High hospital utilization
- High intensity in hospital
- High referrals outside plan
- Incorrect estimation of average per day institutional cost
- Low enrollment impacts admin costs
- Low participation from groups may lead to adverse selection
- Random fluctuations
- Incorrect estimation of costs and trend

Solution 16 (continued)

Alternatives

- Contract with specialists for services you can't provide
- Reinsure with an insurance company for hospital referral costs
- Understand your referral risk
- Sponsor an HMO
- Risk share agreement with hospital

a)

Community Rated

- Charge the same premium for all groups / members

- One of 3 ways to determine community rates:
 - 1) Fixed schedule of rates is charged to all employer groups and individual employees
 - 2) Rates may vary by employer group, but they generate the same pmpm for all employer groups or subsets of employer groups
 - 3) Rates may vary by employer group, but generate the same revenue per subscriber per month for all employer groups or subset of groups

- Determination of premium based on medical expense plus admin, plus profit and surplus

- Considerations

- Contract mix for a specific employer can be used in developing rates for that employer
- Loading for admin and marketing expenses can vary by size of group
- Ratio of rates can be varied to match the in-force indemnity insurance rates
- Rates can vary by contract distribution and family size (recommended for large groups)
- Family rates can vary to reflect different dependent eligibility definitions (eg child to age 19 vs 25)

Community Rated by Class

- Allows for option to vary rates by class within a group, such as age, gender, marital status, industry etc

- Rate setting involves 3 steps:
 - 1) Classify all HMO members into classes actuarially derived that predict differences in utilization of HMO services members in each class
 - 2) Determine revenue requirements for providing services to members of each class
 - 3) For each group, develop a composite premium rate for all individuals in the group and families of similar size according to anticipated demographic makeup of the group by class

- Considerations

- Requires sufficient data for each class to develop cost assumptions.
- Variations in rates can be made to reflect differences in marketing and admin costs for individual contracts, and by employer group size
- Annual review of relative cost factors used for age, sex, industry, etc should be conducted to ensure that the factors do not produce biased results.

Solution 17 (continued)

Experience Rating

- Principal method used for group policyholders based wholly or partially on own group's experience

- 3 classes generally defined to determine which rating methodology to use:

- 1) Small employer groups (eg 25-100) may use community rated class
- 2) Fully experience rated for over say 500 or 1000 employees (ie uses groups own experience)
- 3) Partially experience rated for groups in between where own experience is blended with CRC

b)

	2003	2004
HMO Med Claims (Tbl	147,900,000	158,500,000
MC-3)		
Average members (Tbl	77,000	80,000
MC-3)		
HMO pmpm	\$160.06	\$165.10

HMO pmpm = Claims /Avg members / 12

Claims trend = 165.10 / 160.06 - 1 = 3.15%2006 projected claims = $$165.10 \times (1.0315)^2 = 175.66

Solve for premium (P) assuming expenses as percentage of premium from Table MC-1, income tax = 37.5%, and after tax profit of 5% of premium.

P = 175.66 + .11P + .05P + .375(P - 175.66 - .11P)=> P(1 - .11 - .375 x .89 - .05) = 175.66(1 - .375) => P(.5063) = 109.79 => P = \$216.84

Solution 17 (continued)

c) i)

Average members per contract

	Distribution	# of Members	Product
Single	0.500	1.000	0.500
Employee & 1 dep	0.150	2.000	0.300
Employee & 2+ deps	0.350	<u>3.700</u>	<u>1.295</u>
Sum Total			2.095

Average premium units per contract

	Distribution	Tier Relativity	Product
Single	0.500	1.000	0.500
Employee & 1 dep	0.150	2.000	0.300
Employee & 2+ deps	0.350	<u>3.200</u>	<u>1.120</u>
Sum Total			1.920

Conversion Factor = 2.095/1.920 =

Single Rate = Capitation Rate * Conversion Factor

= 216.84 * 1.091 =	\$236.60
--------------------	----------

1.091

Employee & 1 dep	= Single Rate * 2 =	\$473.20
r J r	0	

Employee & 2+ deps = Single Rate	
* 3.2 =	\$757.12

Solution 17 (continued)

c) ii)

Average premium units per contract

Single Employee & 1 dep Employee & 2+ deps Sum Total Conversion Factor = 2.0 0.999		Tier Relativity 1.000 2.250 <u>3.600</u>		Product 0.500 0.338 <u>1.260</u> 2.098 0.999
Single Rate = Capitation Rate * Conversion Factor				
= 216.84	* 0.999		\$216.62	
Employee & 1 dep = Single Rate * 2.25 \$487.40				
Employee & 2+ deps = Single Rate * 3.6			\$779.83	

a)

- Key Factors
 - Consider large claims and statistical fluctuations
 - Pricing errors including incorrect trend
 - Amount of time necessary to correct errors and have it make an impact
- Modeling techniques used by AAA involved Ruin Theory and Monte Carlo simulation
 - > Ruin Theory
 - Goal of ruin theory determine required capital such that there is less than 5% chance of ruin over 5 years.
 - Project profit and losses for each year.
 - Used to determine how capital varies by volume of business.
 - Monte Carlo simulation helps create a stochastic/random model on potential results

b)

- o RBCAC = $H_0 + (H_1^2 + H_2^2 + H_3^2 + H_4^2)^{1/2}$
 - \rightarrow H₀ = Asset Risk of Affiliates
 - \blacktriangleright H₁ = Asset Risk of Others
 - \blacktriangleright H₂ = Underwriting Risk
 - \rightarrow H₃ = Credit Risk
 - H_4 = Business Risk
 - ▶ RBCAC = $25 + (1^2 + 150^2 + 2^2 + 5^2)^{1/2} = 175.10$ (millions)
- Authorized Control Level (ACL) = RBCAC / 2
 - ➤ ACL = 175.10 / 2 = 87.55
- o Total Adjusted Capital (TAC) / ACL = 150 / 87.55 = 1.71

c)

Ratio of TAC/ACL	Action	
>= 2.0	No Regulatory Action	
1.5 to 2.0	Company Action	
1.0 to 1.5	Regulatory Action	
0.7 to 1.0	Authorized Control Level	
<0.7	Mandatory Control Level	

- o RHI has ratio of TAC/ACL of 1.71, therefore Company Action required.
- Company Action = company must submit a corrective action plan to the commissioner.

Solution 18 (continued)

d)

- o Affiliate reduce ownership in affiliated companies
- Assets Other increase exposure to higher rated securities
- o Underwriting Risk:
 - Increase business in Dental and ASO business
 - Increase reimbursement to salaried HMOs
 - Set up provider risk shares
 - > Do not allow rate guarantees more than 12 months
 - Increase premium stabilization reserves of experience rates groups
- Credit Risk withholds from providers and letters of credit for capitated providers
- Business Risk increase ASO business and limit risky underwriting growth to 10% of revenue

a)

Expected admissions = 750 x 1.045 = 783.75 Expected cost = 783.75 x 9000 = \$7,053,750 Actual cost = 690 x 9000 = \$6,210,000 Savings = \$7,053,750 - \$ 6,210,000 = \$843,750

b)

- 1. regression to mean claim costs tend toward the average over time
- 2. patient selection bias impacts study results
- 3. establish uniform risk measure for comparability
 - demographics: age, gender, payer eligibility, other insurance
 - exclusion of conditions, members privacy restrictions or member is not a good clinical or financial candidate
 - exclusion of conditions, claims exclude certain conditions such as maternity, cancer, trauma
 - persistency conditions which member may leave program or remains with program
 - contractibility engage member to take responsibility for own healthcare
 - operational issues methodology for gathering statistics and how used for comparative purposes
 - claim issues changes in processes or coding
 - patient selection bias potential if a random sample is not properly obtained

c)

- i. Advantages
 - Based on known and understandable statistics
 - Can adjust for risk factors (demographics, etc)
 - Data readily available
 - Practical and cost effective

Disadvantages

- Doesn't measure chronic/disease managed population
- Gets regression to mean issues
- May observe lower trend in chronic pool due to migration bias

Solution 19 (continued)

ii. Advantages

- Segments analysis on disease managed members only
- Better control of "noise"
- Change in demographics easily tracked

Disadvanges

- Biased by regression to mean
- Small number of members / credibility
- No insight to overall trends
- Comorbidity impacts may influence results

iii. Advantages

- Measures against an outside similar population
- Better elimination of regression to mean effect
- Better elimination of white noise

Disadvantages

- May be impractical or difficult to develop the control group
- Patient selection bias
- Limited data/credibility

a)

Utilization Review:

- Prospective
 - e.g. mandatory OP Surgery
- Concurrent
 - e.g. maximum length of stay
- Retrospective
 - Look at patterns and identify causes

Case management

• Used for high cost cases

Disease management

used for chronic diseases where alternative approaches cause savings
 e.g. diabetes

Demand management

- Nurse Lines
- Medical informatics

Pre-authorization/pre-certification

• notify the plan before service or admitting patients to hospital

Population health management

• Use predictive modeling to identify high cost patients.

Specialist Utilization Controls

- use PCP as gatekeeper
- prohibit secondary referrals

Alternatives to Hospital

- SNF
- Hospice
- Home Care

Solution 20 (continued)

b)

(i)

- Reinsurance needed to provide protection against catastrophic claims
- Protect against insolvency
- Federal regulations requiring it for HMOs

(ii)

- What is the financial condition of the HMO?
- What is the reputation of the physicians in the network?
- What is the hospital utilization pattern?
- What is the age/sex mix of the membership?
- What are the hospitals in the network and the reimbursement arrangements and rates?
- What is the population covered (Medicaid, Medicare,)
- What is the general management of the HMO?

(iii)

- high deductible
- coinsurance
- require use of centers of excellence for transplants
- early notification of cases nearing attachment point.

c)

Any Willing Provider Laws

• Prevent selective network

Access to specialty care

- Direct access no need for referrals
- Specialist as PCP
- Standing referral for long-term condition

Drug Formulary Disclosure

• Disclose what is on formulary and how to obtain coverage for non-formulary drugs

Exemption from physician anti-trust law

• Physicians can get together to negotiate fees

Solution 20 (continued)

Expansion of health plan liability

Utilization management as the practice of medicine.

• Subject to medical malpractice

Emergency Room

• Must be covered if condition prompts a prudent layperson to seek care

Clinical mandates

• Mandated min LOS for mother after new born

Mandated benefits

• E.g. mental health parity requires same benefit copays as other medical services

a)

Claims pmpm = cost/day x days/1000 / 12000

From pricing:

Market	Claims pmpm	Cost/day	Implied days/1000	Actual days/1000
1	\$30	\$2250	160	175
2	\$36	\$1920	225	210
3	\$40	\$2400	200	200

Senior management should consider giving a bonus to Market 2 since they manage well compared to pricing targets. Recommendation to give a bonus to Market 1 is inappropriate since the actual days/1000 are more than pricing targets. Market 3 is right on target.

b)

Other hospital based performance incentives include:

- Capitation
- Service and quality incentives
 - patient satisfaction
 - outcomes
- Financial incentives
 - withholds
 - bonus pool
 - utilization based performance payments can create liability for management
 - geographical variations in type and use of treatment
 - markets with more hospital beds and physicians have higher utilization
 - defensive medicine
 - training biases
 - fee for service incentives
 - capitation disincentives
 - gender
 - age
 - race
 - access to technology
 - types of employer group